



**The program of
the entrance exam for the group of educational programs of the Faculty of Law for
master's degree
for foreign citizens to study on a paid basis**

1. General Provisions

1.1 The program was drawn up in accordance with the Order of the Minister of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated October 31, 2018, No. 600 «On approval of the Model Regulations for admission to studies in educational organization, implementing educational programs of technical and vocational education» (hereinafter – the Standard Rules).

1.2. Kazakh National University named after al-Farabi accepts individuals who have completed higher education programs for postgraduate education programs (master's degree).

1.3. Entrance exams are conducted in the form of interviews for the following educational programs:

- ✓ 7M04226 – Law
- ✓ 7M04205- Civil Service and Administrative Activities
- ✓ 7M04204 – Civil Service and Personnel Support
- ✓ 7M04213 – Judicial and prosecutorial and investigative activities
- ✓ 7M04224 – Forensic examination
- ✓ 7M04220 – Forensic examinations
- ✓ 7M04207 – Civil Law
- ✓ 7M04218 – Jurisprudence (Wismar u-t)
- ✓ 7M04212 – Maritime and Energy Law (BSU)
- ✓ 7M04214 – Customs
- ✓ 7M04216 – Financial Law
- ✓ 7M012301 - Law enforcement activity
- ✓ 7M04217 – Jurisprudence
- ✓ 7M04208 – Civil Procedural Law

1.4. For the organization and conduct of entrance exams for the admission of foreign

applicants, an examination subject commission for the academic year is established by the rector of al-Farabi Kazakh National University.

The examination commission for the admission exams of foreign applicants to KazNU includes employees of the Department of Internationalization and Recruitment (hereinafter referred to as the Department) and the teaching staff of KazNU.

1.5. If a foreign applicant who meets the above-mentioned requirements is unable to come to the University for the entrance interview, they have the option to take it in an online format.

1.6. Entrance exams in the form of oral interviews for the admission of foreign applicants are evaluated on a 100-point scale. For admission to the master's program on a fee-paying basis, a minimum of 75 points is required for the academic and pedagogical track (2 years) and a minimum of 50 points for the specialized track (1-1.5 years).

1.7. Following the entrance interview, a protocol is prepared in the established format. The interview protocol is signed electronically via the "Salem office" system by the chairperson and all attending members of the commission and then submitted to the Office.

1.8. The decision on admission is reviewed by the competition commission for the enrollment of foreign applicants and documented by a protocol through the "Salem office" system. The results of the entrance exam are announced on the day of the exam.

1.9. Retaking the entrance exam is not allowed.

1.10. Appeals regarding the results of the interview are allowed within 24 hours.

2. Conducting the entrance exam in 2025:

2.1 The interview is conducted in Russian, Kazakh, and English languages. The oral interview also includes questions aimed at assessing the applicant's ability to learn, creative activity, critical thinking, and personal qualities.

2.2. An indicative list of interview topics:

1. The subject and method of the theory of state and law
2. The concept, essence, and typology of States
3. The origin of the State
4. The form of the State
5. The concept and features of the state
6. The mechanism of the State
7. Personality. Society. State
8. The concept and essence of law
9. Civil law. Civil legislation
10. Citizens as subjects of civil rights
11. Functions of civil law
12. The content of the civil legal relationship.

13. Legal entities. General provisions
14. The concept, subject, tasks, principles and system of criminal law.
15. Criminal law.
16. Interpretation of the criminal law.
17. The concept of a crime and its signs
18. The composition of the crime.
19. The system of principles of criminal law
20. Classification of crimes

2.3. Recommended reading list for preparation:

Core literature:

1. Baimakhanov M. T. Selected works on the theory of state and law. – M., 2004.
2. Bulgakov D. A., the Theory of state and law: textbook. – A., 2003.
3. Ibraeva A. S. Theory of state and law. – A., 2006.
4. Marchenko M. N. Theory of state and law. Textbook. 2nd ed. - M., 2006.
5. Matuzov N. I., Malko A.V. Theory of state and law. - M., 2004.
6. Nersesyants V. S. General theory of law and state – - M., 2000.
7. Khropanyuk V. N. Theory of state and law. 2nd ed. - Moscow, 2005.
8. Basin Yu. G. Legal entities under the Civil Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan: The concept and general characteristics of Almaty, 1996.
9. Basin Yu. G. Transactions. - Almaty, 1997.
10. Braginsky M. I., Vitryansky V. V. Contract law. General provisions – - M., 1997.
11. The Civil Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan (General part). Comment. In 2 books, edited by M. K. Suleimenov, Yu. G. Basin. - Almaty, 1998.
12. The Civil Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Special part). Commentary edited by M. K. Suleimenov, Yu. G. Basin. - Almaty, 2000.
13. Polenov G. F. Criminal law of the Republic of Kazakhstan. - A., 1997.
14. Criminal law of the Republic of Kazakhstan. The general part. / edited by I. I. Rogov, M. A. Sarsembayev. - Almaty, 1998.
15. Kairzhanov E. I. Criminal law of the Republic of Kazakhstan (General part). - Almaty, 1997.
16. Rogov I. I. Criminal Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan-general characteristics (in comparison with the Criminal Code of the Kazakh SSR). - Almaty: Baspa, 1997.
17. Criminal law of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Special Part: Tutorial / Edited by prof. A. N. Agybaev, G. I. Baymurzin. - Almaty, 2003.
18. Commentary to the Criminal Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan / Ed. by I. I. Rogov and S. M. Rakhmetov. Book 2. - Astana, 2007.

Additional literature:

1. Constitution of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated August 30, 1995, with amendments and additions.
2. Criminal Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan dated July 16, 1997, with amendments and additions.
3. Civil Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan (General Part) dated December 27, 1994, with amendments and additions.
4. Civil Code of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Special Part) dated July 01, 1999, with amendments and additions.
5. Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan "On Normative Legal Acts" dated March 28, 1998, with amendments and additions.

3. Scale and criteria for evaluating the entrance exam for admission to the master's program (specialized track) for foreign citizens on a fee-paying basis:

Number of points	Compliance criteria
<p>90-100 points «Excellent»</p>	<p>All competencies required for the entrance exam have been mastered. A comprehensive answer has been provided to two theoretical questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Scientific terminology has been correctly utilized. - All necessary features, elements, grounds, and classifications have been accurately named and defined to substantiate the arguments. - The main viewpoints accepted in scientific literature regarding the discussed issue have been indicated. - Own position or viewpoint has been argued, and the most significant research problems in this field have been identified. - The practical problem has been solved correctly with all necessary explanations.
<p>75-89 points «Good»</p>	<p>All competencies required for the entrance exam have been mastered. A correct answer has been provided to two theoretical questions, with minor deficiencies identified in preparation:</p> <p>Scientific terminology is applied.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - All necessary features, elements, classifications are named, but there is an error or inaccuracy in the definitions or concepts. - There are shortcomings in argumentation, factual or terminological inaccuracies are present, but they are not significant. - Some insights into possible research problems in the field are expressed. - The practical problem is partially solved with incomplete explanations provided.
<p>50-74 points</p>	<p>All competencies required for the entrance exam have been mastered. A</p>

«Satisfactory»	<p>correct answer has been provided to two theoretical questions, with minor deficiencies identified in preparation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Only some grounds, features, characteristics of the phenomenon under consideration are named and defined. - Significant terminological inaccuracies are present. - Own viewpoint is not presented. - No insights into possible research problems in the field are provided. - The practical problem is not solved.
0–49 points «Unsatisfactory»	Not all competencies required for the entrance exam have been mastered. Incorrect answers are provided to two theoretical questions, with significant deficiencies identified in preparation. The practical problem is not solved.

3.1 Scale and assessment criteria of the entrance examination for admission to the master's program (academic and pedagogical direction) for foreign citizens on a fee-paying basis:

Number of points	Compliance criteria
90–100 points "Excellent"	Demonstrates knowledge of the fundamental processes within the studied subject area; depth and completeness of addressing the issue; logically and sequentially expresses own opinion on the discussed problem; possesses conceptual-categorical framework, scientific terminology; logical coherence of the answer, adherence to the norms of contemporary scientific language.
80–89 points "Good"	Competent use of scientific terminology; mastery of conceptual-categorical framework; problem-oriented presentation of formulated questions; occasional errors in presenting factual material; incompleteness in presenting scientifically established facts within the scope of questions; logical coherence of the answer, adherence to the norms of contemporary scientific language.
75–79 points "Satisfactory"	Insufficient use of scientific terminology; inadequate mastery of conceptual-categorical framework; ability to address only one of the problems formulated in the questions; errors in presenting factual material; superficial knowledge of the subject area; violation of logical coherence in the answer, norms of contemporary scientific language.
0–74 points "Unsatisfactory"	Absence of necessary scientific terminology in the answers; descriptive presentation of discussed issues, inability to identify and present problems; gross errors in presenting factual material; lack of knowledge of historiography of the studied subject area.